Direct observation of 2-dimensional ices on different surfaces near room temperature without confinement
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Water–solid interfaces play important roles in a wide range of fields, including atmospheric science, geochemistry, electrochemistry, and food science. Herein, we report simulation evidence of 2-dimensional (2D) ice formation on various surfaces and the dependence of the 2D crystalline structure on the hydrophobicity and morphology of the underlying surface. Contrary to the prevailing view that nanoscale confinement is necessary for the 2D liquid-to-bilayer ice transition, we find that the liquid-to-bilayer hexagonal ice (BHI) transition can occur either on a model smooth surface or on model fcc-crystal surfaces with indices of (100), (110), and (111) near room temperature. We identify a critical parameter that characterizes the water–surface interaction, above which the BHI can form on the surface. This critical parameter increases as the temperature increases. Even at temperatures above the freezing temperature of bulk ice \((T_\text{f}}\), we find that BHI can also form on a superhydrophobic surface due to the strong water–surface interaction. The tendency toward the formation of BHI without confinement reflects a proper water–surface interaction that can compensate for the entropy loss during the freezing transition. Furthermore, phase diagrams of 2D ice formation are described on the plane of the adsorption energy versus the fcc lattice constant \((E_{\text{at}}-a_{\text{fcc}})\), where 4 monolayer square-like ices are also identified on the fcc model surfaces with distinct water–surface interactions.
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Water–solid interactions are crucial in diverse everyday phenomena and are widely investigated in scientific and technological processes (1–14). Since water molecules near a solid surface can undergo stratification, both hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces can have a profound influence on the vicinal structure of a water film. Over the past 2 decades, many molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and a few experiments have shown the formation of 2-dimensional (2D) crystalline and amorphous ices when a water confined between planar hydrophobic surfaces is slowly cooled (7, 15–27), a manifestation of the extraordinary ability of water molecules to form diverse hydrogen-bonding networks under nanoscale confinement. To date, it has been reported that water confined between 2 parallel surfaces can form monolayer and bilayer square ices (7, 15), distorted bilayer hexagonal ice (BHI) (16, 17), bilayer hexagonal and rhombic ices (18–21), bilayer interlocked pentagonal ice (22), monolayer rhombic ices (23, 24), trilayer ice (25), and trilayer heterogeneous fluid (26). Recently, a number of studies revealed the exciting possibility of 2D ice formation on surfaces without the need of nanoscale confinement. For example, a previous study of a water–mica interface indicated the presence of an ice-like water layer (28–30). A sum-frequency generation experiment revealed no free OH bonds in the adsorbed water layer on mica (31). Kimmel et al. (32) experimentally demonstrated the formation of BHI via water vapor deposition on a graphene/Pt(111) substrate at temperatures between 100 and 135 K. Such a BHI structure was originally predicted to form when liquid water confined to a nanoscale slit is slowly cooled (16, 33). Later, the low-temperature growth of bilayer ice was also observed on an Au(111) substrate (34), a Pt(111) substrate (35), and a Ru(0001) substrate (35), all at extremely low temperatures (<140 K). The formation of bilayer ice on gold is attributed to the relatively weak interaction between gold and water (34). However, previous MD simulations show that when confined between hydrophobic surfaces, the BHI formed exhibits an anomalously high melting point, even higher than the computed melting temperature of bulk ice \((T_\text{f}}\) (16, 33).

Despite much effort in studying the behavior of water/ice at the interface, the influence of the hydrophobicity and morphology of the surface on the formation of 2D ices without confinement has not been systematically studied. Here, we report a comprehensive simulation study of the formation of 2D ices on various model surfaces, particularly fcc-crystal surfaces with different morphologies, including the (111), (100), (110), and (211) surfaces, as well as surfaces with different strengths of water–surface interactions. For each surface considered, we built a dataset of 10 different slabs with the fcc lattice parameter \(a_{\text{fcc}}\) varying from 3.52 to 4.06 Å. Note that this lattice-parameter range encompasses several fcc metals, ranging from Ni to Ag, including the Pt structure. We show simulation evidence that BHI can form directly from liquid
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water on a smooth surface and on 3 fcc crystal surfaces, i.e., (100), (110), and (111) surfaces, near room temperature without nanoscale confinement. This direct liquid–BHI transition is strongly dependent on the degree of hydrophobicity of the surface and the temperature. At a given temperature, there exists a critical parameter that characterizes the water–surface interaction, above which the liquid-to-BHI transition can be observed. Moreover, we identified 4 monolayer square-like ices that can also form on the fcc crystal surfaces. Our findings provide a molecular-level understanding of 2D ice formation on surfaces without confinement, thereby offering a guide for growing 2D ices on different solid surfaces.

**Correlation between the Contact Angle and the Water–Surface Interaction**

Prior to the MD simulations of 2D ice formation on a smooth surface, a series of classical MD simulations were performed to quantitatively determine the correlation between the contact angle ($\theta$) of a water nanodroplet on the surface and the water–surface interaction parameter $\varepsilon$ (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). SI Appendix, Fig. S2 shows a plot of $\cos \theta$ versus $\varepsilon$. Generally, as $\varepsilon$ increases, $\theta$ decreases. When $\varepsilon$ exceeds a critical value, water can completely wet the surface with $\theta = 0$. According to previous studies, $\cos \theta$ exhibits a linear dependence on $\varepsilon$ (36). The solid red line in SI Appendix, Fig. S2 represents a linear fit of all data points (for $\theta > 0$) using the least-squares fitting method. The fitted straight line is given by

$$\cos \theta = 0.383\varepsilon - 1.477. \quad [1]$$

**Formation of BHI on a Smooth Surface without Confinement**

In the first series of MD simulations, for a fixed $\varepsilon$, the temperature was first lowered in steps until the freezing was observed and then raised in steps until the melting was completed. SI Appendix, Fig. S3 shows the temperature dependence of the potential energy, excluding contribution from the water–wall interactions. In the series of MD simulations, with the system being cooled in 10-K steps, the potential energy gradually decreased first and then suddenly dropped by ~0.6 kcal/mol to a lower value. In the reverse heating series, the potential energy jumped to a higher value at a temperature higher than the transition temperature seen in the cooling series. This strong hysteresis shown in the potential energy curves indicates that the phase transition is first order.

Fig. 1A displays the variation in the potential energy ($U^{FW}$), excluding the contributions from the water–surface interactions, versus the water–surface interaction parameter $\varepsilon$ for the equilibrium system at 250 K. As $\varepsilon$ increases, the potential energy initially increases gradually and then suddenly drops by ~0.45 kcal/mol. This potential-energy drop occurs between $\varepsilon = 5.22$ kcal/mol (at which the adsorption energy of a single water molecule $E_{\text{ads}} = -2.009$ kcal/mol) and $\varepsilon = 5.41$ kcal/mol ($E_{\text{ads}} = -2.082$ kcal/mol), corresponding to contact angles $\theta = 58.5^\circ$ and $53.5^\circ$, respectively. Thus, we estimate that the critical value of $\varepsilon$ corresponding to the boundary, above which the solid phase is preferred, $\varepsilon_c = 5.315 \pm 0.095$ kcal/mol (corresponding to $E_{\text{ads}} = -2.045 \pm 0.037$ kcal/mol or $\theta = 56^\circ \pm 2.5^\circ$).

Moreover, the self-diffusion constants in the xy-plane ($D_{xy}$) (37) below and above the boundary differ by 2 orders of magnitude ($D_{xy} = 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$/s for $\varepsilon = 5.22$ kcal/mol and $D_{xy} = 5.2 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s for $\varepsilon = 5.41$ kcal/mol), indicating that the 2 phases after equilibrium are indeed correspond to a liquid and solid, respectively (Fig. 1B). Unlike previously reported BHI formation through vapor deposition on a surface at very low temperature (32, 34, 35), here, the formation of BHI on the surface occurs through a spontaneous liquid-to-solid transition near room temperature and is therefore insensitive to the value of low pressure used in the MD simulations (SI Appendix, Fig. S4).

Top views of the liquid and ice phases at $T = 250$ K are shown in Fig. 2A and B, respectively. The solid has 2 layers, where the oxygen atoms in both layers are indicated by blue and red spheres (indicated by bilayer ice structure), and the layer is in registry. A side view of the bilayer ice (Fig. 2B) and the transverse density profile (TDP) of the oxygen atoms (Fig. 2C) show that each layer of the ice is almost flat, consistent with previous studies (16, 33). Since the bilayer ice satisfies the Bernal-Fowler ice rules (no dangling proton exists in the bilayer ice), the Bjerrum defects (38) should play little role in the formation of the ice, especially considering the extremely low Bjerrum defect density in the bilayer ice (SI Appendix, section D). Overall, the bilayer ice exhibits a similar local network structure as the bilayer ice obtained with confinement (33), as well as that grown on Ru(0001) and Pt(111) (35). As shown in Fig. 2B, the hexagonal rings are the predominant local structure in the bilayer ice, although pentagonal, heptagonal, and octagonal rings can also be observed. To gain more insight into the structural features of the bilayer ice, we computed the oxygen atom radial distribution function (RDF), $g_{\text{O-O}}$. As shown in Fig. 2D, as $\varepsilon = 5.41$ kcal/mol (red line), the sharp density maxima and minima validate the high structural order of the bilayer ice. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 2E, a broad distribution of the $\text{O-O}$ angles with 2 main peaks located at 90° and 120° is observed due to the presence of not only hexagonal rings but also pentagonal, heptagonal, and octagonal rings. To rule out the influence of disproportion between the unit cells and periodically ordered ice structure, we performed 2 other sets of simulations: one in the NP$_x$T ensemble with a fixed load $P_{xy} = 1$ bar and another with larger system sizes. Snapshots (SI Appendix, Fig. S5) and the RDF (SI Appendix, Fig. S6) clearly show that bilayer ice can form on the surface. However, the number of pentagonal, heptagonal, and octagonal rings in the interior part of the bilayer ice decrease dramatically or even to zero with longer simulation time (SI Appendix, Fig. S7), which indicates that the mixture of hexagonal, pentagonal, and other rings represents local-minimum energy structures.

Independent simulations were also performed by using 3 other water models, TIP4P/2005 (39), monatomic water model mW (40), and HB water model (41), to assure that the conclusions were not model-dependent (SI Appendix). Again, $\varepsilon$-dependent BHI formation was observed with the TIP4P/2005 model (SI Appendix, Fig. S8), monatomic water model (SI Appendix, Fig. S9), and HB water model (SI Appendix, Fig. S10).

In addition, another 2 independent series of MD simulations were performed to investigate the effect of size parameter $\sigma$ on the formation of BHI (SI Appendix, section H). The simulation results showed that the liquid–solid transition is insensitive to the $\sigma$ (SI Appendix, Fig. B1).

Is it possible that the observed liquid–BHI transition is a prewetting transition (42, 43)? Note that the prewetting transitions of water were first studied by Monte Carlo (MC) simulation
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in the Gibbs ensemble (GE) with a homemade code (SI Appendix, section J and Figs. S12 and S13). After the GEMC simulations, the 2 bilayer phases were used as the initial configuration for further MD simulations in the NPT, T ensemble (Peq = 1 bar) with different temperatures (SI Appendix, section K). The temperature dependence of the potential energy per water molecule in the water slab (SI Appendix, Fig. S14A), that of the diffusion coefficients (SI Appendix, Fig. S14B), and snapshots (SI Appendix, Fig. S15) all suggest a strong first-order phase transition from 2 water layers to BHI. Moreover, BHI no longer can be observed on the surface regardless of its hydrophilicity if T > 237.5 ± 2.5 K for the conventional TIP4P water model, ~5 K higher than the melting temperature of bulk TIP4P ice (ΔT) (229 ± 2 K). However, the prewetting transition can still be observed even at 300 K from our GEMC simulations (SI Appendix, Fig. S12).

**Molecular Insight into the Formation of BHI without Confinement**

To gain deeper insights into why surface wettability plays such an important role in BHI formation on a surface, we computed the interaction energy (UWS) between water and the surface versus ε at T = 250 K (SI Appendix, Fig. S16). In the range of ε = 2.32–5.22 kcal/mol, UWS first decreased gradually from ~0.20 to ~0.86 kcal/mol and then dropped suddenly by approximately 0.31 kcal/mol as ε increased from 5.22 to 5.41 kcal/mol. Such a sudden decrease in UWS is a manifestation of the phase transition. Due to the flat structure of BHI, the interaction energy between water and the surface decreases as the liquid turns into a solid. Using the thermodynamic relation,

\[ A = U^{WW} + U^{WS} - TS, \]

where A, T, S, and U^{WW} denote the Helmholtz free energy, absolute temperature, entropy of the system, and the potential energy accounts for the water–water intermolecular interactions, respectively. At a given ε and T, the difference in A between the solid and liquid is given by

\[ \Delta A = \left( U^{WW}_{\text{solid}} - U^{WW}_{\text{liquid}} \right) + \left( U^{WS}_{\text{solid}} - U^{WS}_{\text{liquid}} \right) - T(S_{\text{solid}} - S_{\text{liquid}}) \]

\[ \Delta A = \Delta U^{WW} + \Delta U^{WS} - T \Delta S. \]

A small increase in ε is expected to lead to a significant decrease in ΔU^{WS} while ΔU^{WW} and ΔS are expected to exhibit little variation at the same temperature. Therefore, when ε is sufficiently large, ΔA = 0 can be obtained, corresponding to the occurrence of the phase transition. Note that during the phase transition, ΔU^{WW} and ΔU^{WS} are approximately equal to ~0.45 kcal/mol

\[ U^{WW}(\epsilon = 5.41 \text{kcal/mol}) - U^{WW}(\epsilon = 5.22 \text{kcal/mol}) \] and ~0.31 kcal/mol

\[ U^{WS}(\epsilon = 5.41 \text{kcal/mol}) - U^{WS}(\epsilon = 5.22 \text{kcal/mol}) \]

respectively. Hence, the entropy difference (ΔS) between the bilayer ice and liquid is approximately ~3.0 cal/(mol·K) at T = 250 K.

Another important aspect of physics is the temperature dependence of the critical value of ε—namely, the value of ε at which the liquid–solid phase transition occurs—especially considering that bilayer ice obtained with confinement has been reported to exhibit an anomalously high melting point (33). SI Appendix, Fig. S17 presents the calculated potential energy (U^{WW}), excluding the contribution from the water–surface interaction, versus ε at various temperatures. At T = 230 K, the sharp increase in U^{WW} at ε = 4.7 ± 0.1 kcal/mol indicates that the liquid transforms into a solid at this critical value of ε. ε increased gradually from 4.73 kcal/mol at 230 K to 6.86 kcal/mol at 275 K (Fig. 3). This range of ε corresponds to the adsorption energy E_{ads} of a single water molecule of approximately ~2 kcal/mol. For comparison, the adsorption energies of a single water molecule on Pt(111), Ru(0001), Rh(111), and Pd(111) surfaces are ~6.71, ~9.43, ~9.43, and ~7.01 kcal/mol (44), respectively, all of which are much larger in magnitude than the critical adsorption energy. Notably, at 275 K, BHI can be observed on a superhydrophilic surface (ε > 6.86 kcal/mol); this temperature of 275 K is even higher than the freezing temperature of bulk TIP4P ice (ΔT) (268 ± 2 K) (45). However, when the temperature is higher than 277.5 ± 2.5 K, BHI can no longer be observed on the surface, even when the ε value is very large (9.27 kcal/mol). Lastly, the entropy difference between the bilayer ice and liquid water (ΔS) was calculated at various temperatures (SI Appendix, Table S1). ΔS is nearly independent of T and exhibits a constant value of 2.94 ± 0.18 cal/(mol·K).

**Formation of 2D Ice on 4 Other Model Surfaces without Confinement**

In addition to the smooth surface, we also investigated 2D ice formation on different atomic surfaces of an fcc crystal, i.e., the (111), (100), (110), and (211) surfaces (Fig. 4A), using the TIP4P/2005 water model. This model can well reproduce the phase diagram of bulk ice polymorphs over a wide range of pressures and temperatures (46). Fig. 4B shows phase diagrams of the water layer on different fcc surfaces in the adsorption energy–lattice constant (E_{ads}–a) plane at T = 230 K.

The formation of bilayer ice is observed on the (111) surface when the value of E_{ads} is above a critical value E_{as} regardless of the lattice constant (Fig. 4C). As shown in Fig. 4B, E_{ads} tends to decrease first and then increase with increasing lattice constant a, yielding a minimum at a_{min} = 3.78 Å. It can be understood that the surface lattice constant (a_{surf}) of the (111) surface (the distance between 2 adjacent atoms at the surface) is 2.67 Å at
Fig. 3. A critical value of $\epsilon$, namely, the value of $\epsilon$ at which the liquid–solid phase transition occurs, versus the system temperature. The red dashed line shows the melting temperature of the TIP4P/ice bulk ice ($\epsilon_c$).

$a_{\text{fcc}} = 3.78$ Å, which matches the length scale of the oxygen–oxygen distance in one layer of bilayer ice (2.73 Å in Fig. 2D). Formation of bilayer ice is also observed on the (100) surface (Fig. 4D) and on the (110) surface (Fig. 4E) when the value of $E_{\text{ads}}$ is above a critical value $E_{\text{ads}}^c$ with smaller lateral constants, i.e., $a_{\text{fcc}} < 4.43$ Å and $a_{\text{bcc}} < 4.30$ Å for the (100) surface and (110) surface (Fig. 4B), respectively. Interestingly, this critical adsorption energy of a single water molecule for the (110) surface is the largest among the (100), (110), and (111) surfaces, likely due to the relatively low symmetry of the (110) surface (Fig. 4A). In contrast to the other 3 surfaces, no bilayer ice is observed on the (211) surface for all $a_{\text{fcc}}$ values (Fig. 4B). It can be understood that the outer layer of the (211) surface has atomic steps (Fig. 4A). Thus, the water layer on the (211) surface exhibits pronounced buckling, which is energetically unfavorable for the formation of flat BHI. A similar buckled water layer can be observed on the (110) surface at large lattice constants (Fig. 4B). Although the outer layer of the (100) surface is atomically flat, no bilayer ice is observed on the surface at large lattice constants ($a_{\text{bcc}} > 4.30$ Å). Note that the (100) surface and BHI possess different surface symmetry (square versus hexagonal; Fig. 4A and Fig. 2B). Furthermore, the formation of BHI is inhibited for large lattice constants due to the lattice mismatch and different surface symmetry between the (100) surface and BHI.

On the (100) surface, in addition to BHI, flat monolayer square ice (IMSI) also forms when $E_{\text{ads}} > E_{\text{ads}}^c$ and when $a_{\text{bcc}}$ is in the range from 3.78 to 4.17 Å (i.e., $a_{\text{bcc}}$ is in the range from 2.67 to 2.95 Å). Similar monolayer ice structures were observed in previous studies (7, 27, 47). A typical snapshot of IMSI is shown in Fig. 4F and SI Appendix, Fig. S18A. The computed oxygen–oxygen RDF $g_{\text{o,o}}(r)$ illustrates the first sharp peak at $\sim 2.82$ Å (SI Appendix, Fig. S15C), corresponding to the measured lattice constant of monolayer ice on graphene nanocapillaries (7). When the surface lattice constant of the (100) surface corresponds to the lattice constant of IMSI, strong interaction between the water molecules and the surface can promote the formation of IMSI since the surface can act as a template.

In contrast to those of the (100) and (111) surfaces, the outer layers of (211) and (110) surfaces exhibit atomic steps (Fig. 4A), which can strongly affect the formation of 2D ice. On the (110) surface at large lattice constants ($a_{\text{fcc}} > 3.91$ Å), the 2D ice exhibits pronounced buckling when $E_{\text{ads}} > E_{\text{ads}}^c$ (Fig. 4B). SI Appendix, Fig. S19B shows the TDP of oxygen atoms in the 2D ice with 2 peaks. A typical snapshot of the 2D ice is shown in Fig. 4G and SI Appendix, Fig. S19A. The green and blue oxygen atoms in Fig. 4G denote different locations along the z axis. The water molecules form square-like rings, indicating that the ice is puckered monolayer square-like ice (pMSI). A similar monolayer ice structure was observed in previous studies (27, 47). Two other pMSIs are observed on the (211) surface, while no bilayer ice can form on the surface (Fig. 4B). For $a_{\text{fcc}}$ values ranging from 3.78 to 3.91 Å, a new monolayer ice is formed on the (211) surface when $E_{\text{ads}} > E_{\text{ads}}^c$. As shown in Fig. 4H, the water molecules in the 2D ice exhibit square rings. We named the 2D ice pMSI-II. In a unit cell of pMSI-II (yellow rectangle in Fig. 4H), there is one quadrilateral parallel to the xy plane and 4 quadrilaterals nonparallel to the xy plane. The TDP of oxygen atoms in pMSI-II appears to have 3 peaks (SI Appendix, Fig. S20B) because the right-column oxygen atoms in the bottom layer (right blue balls in Fig. 4H) are slightly higher than the left ones in the bottom layer (left blue balls in Fig. 4H) by $\sim 0.4$ Å.

For $a_{\text{fcc}}$ values ranging from 3.91 to 4.43 Å, another pMSI (named pMSI-III here) forms spontaneously on the surface, as shown in Fig. 4F, when $E_{\text{ads}} > E_{\text{ads}}^c$. The TDP of oxygen atoms in pMSI-III is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S21B, where 3 peaks appear. In the unit cell of pMSI-III, all 3 quadrilaterals are not parallel to the xy plane (red rectangle in Fig. 4F). Simulations of the 3 pMSI structures are shown in SI Appendix, Figs. S19C, S20C, and S21C, respectively. It appears that all 3 pMSIs exhibit a sharp peak at $\sim 2.79$ Å, very close to the measured value of IMSI (7). The high number of pMSIs observed on surfaces is mainly due to the weak hydrogen-bonding interaction.

Discussions and Conclusion

The formation of BHI on surfaces previously achieved in the laboratory mostly involved surfaces having weak interactions with water (32, 34, 35). Here, our study focuses on the formation of BHI on surfaces having stronger interaction (than previously considered) with water. More specifically, the growth of bilayer ice has been widely observed experimentally on graphene (32), Pt(111) surface (35), Ru(0001) substrate (35), and Au(111) surface (34) without confinement. Note that the nearest atom–atom distances for the graphene, Pt(111) surface, Ru(0001) substrate, and Au(111) surface are 1.42, 2.78, 2.72, and 2.88 Å, respectively, indicating that the structure of the substrate is not the most important factor. Note also that most experiments were done at low temperature. For graphene, thin films of water were deposited on the substrate at temperatures ranging from 20 to 152 K. The BHI was only observed for $T < 135$ K, while cubic ice Ic was observed at higher temperature. For comparison, the BHI can be observed on the Pt(111) surface and Ru(0001) substrate (35), even for $T > 135$ K. It is known that Pt [$E_{\text{ads}} = 7.0$ kcal/mol (44)] and Ru [$E_{\text{ads}} = 9.4$ kcal/mol (44)] are much more hydrophilic than graphene [$E_{\text{ads}} = 0.9$ kcal/mol (48)]. Hence, these experimental results are already consistent with our predictions.

Scanning tunneling microscopy was used to investigate water deposition on Ru(0001), Pt(111), and Au(111) substrates, and the measurements were all done at low temperature [for Ru and Pt, $T < 150$ K (35); and for Au, $T < 120$ K (34)]. In addition to BHI, MD simulations (49) and density functional theory study (50) show that IMSI can form on the Pt(100) surface [$a_{\text{bcc}} = 3.92$ Å, $E_{\text{ads}} = 9.9$ kcal/mol (51)] and on the ceria(100) surface [the neighboring surface oxygen ions are 2.74 Å, $E_{\text{ads}} = 21.7$ kcal/mol (50)], which are all consistent with our predictions. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was also used to study the growth and morphology of ice films on the cleavage surface of mica (52). Between $-20$ and $-10$ °C and at a relative humidity of $\sim 83\%$, the film, consisting of a solid ice layer $\sim 7$ Å thick, covered by a liquid-like layer $50 \pm 5$ Å thick, was observed. The solid-ice layer was suggested as a bilayer of ice $I_0$, since the height of the solid-ice layer corresponds to that of the bilayers of ice $I_0$. However, bilayers of ice $I_0$ typically lack numerous dangling H and are likely not very stable. Interestingly, the height of the BHI is also $\sim 7$ Å (Fig. 2C), but without any dangling H. Hence, we speculate that the solid-ice layer observed in the AFM experiment could be
the BHI, especially considering the hydrophilic nature of the mica surface. If the observed solid-ice layer is indeed the BHI, a relative humidity of 83% applied indicates again that the pressure would have little influence on the formation of BHI.

In conclusion, we have investigated the effect of the interplay between surface morphology and hydrophobicity on the formation of 2D ices on either a smooth surface or fcc crystalline surfaces with a wide range of water adsorption energies and lattice parameters. We find that BHI can form directly from liquid water on the surfaces near room temperature without nanoscale confinement. The wettability of the surfaces plays a key role in the liquid-to-bilayer ice transition. For the water–surface interaction parameter $\varepsilon$, there is a critical value above which the liquid-to-bilayer ice transition on the surface can be observed. Indeed, bilayer ice whose melting temperature is above that of bulk ice ($T_m$) can even form on a superhydrophilic surface. The formation of bilayer ice on surfaces is attributed to the satisfaction of the Bernal–Fowler ice rules and the strong interaction between water and the surface, which can compensate for the entropy loss due to the freezing transition. Above 280 K, the bilayer ice can no longer form on the surface. The generic formation of 2D ices without confinement revealed in this work will hopefully motivate future experimental studies of 2D ice formation on a variety of realistic surfaces.

Methods

We considered a water slab that includes 213 water molecules and is in contact with a surface. Here, our focus was not placed on specific metallic surfaces, but rather on generic insight into 2D ice formation on model surfaces. To this end, we considered a smooth surface, as well as 4 different crystallographic planes of a fcc crystal, namely, the (111), (100), (110), and (211) surfaces, each with unique atomic roughness and symmetry of the exposed crystalline layer. The TIP4P/ice potential was used to describe the water–water interaction (53). This model has been validated by many researchers in their studies of the structure, thermodynamic properties, and crystallization of water (53–58). In particular, the melting point of the TIP4P/ice model hexagonal ice is $T_m = 268 \pm 2$ K (53), very close to that of the bulk ice $T_m$. The water–smooth-surface interaction was modeled by a 5.3 Lennard–Jones potential function, $U(r) = \varepsilon \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^6$, where the parameter $\sigma = 2.263$ Å, while for the 4 different crystallographic planes of an fcc crystal, the water–atom interaction was modeled by a 12-6 Lennard–Jones potential function, $U(r) = \varepsilon \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^6$, where the parameter $\sigma = 3.2$ Å. The parameter $\varepsilon$ was adjusted to describe the different degrees of surface hydrophobicity. Long-range electrostatics interactions were treated by using the particle–particle–particle mesh method with a real-space cutoff distance of 10 Å. The 10-Å cutoff distance was also applied to the van der Waals interactions (39). A slab-like simulation box, relatively thin in the $x$ direction ($L_x = 30$ Å) and relatively long in the $y$ ($L_y = 100$ Å) and $z$ directions ($L_z = 100$ Å), was adopted. Periodic boundary conditions were imposed in both the $x$ and $y$ directions, such that the water slab was infinite in the $x$ direction. The MD simulations...
were performed by using the GROMACS package (Version 4.6.5) (60) in the constant-volume and temperature (NVT) ensemble with a time step of 1.5 fs. The temperature of water was maintained within a range from 293 K to 300 K. For a given T and ϵ, the simulation time was 30 ns. Three other water models, i.e., TIP4P/2005 (39), monatomic water model mW (40), and HBP polarizable water model (41), were also considered to ensure no model dependence in key physical phenomena. Overall, a total of ~2,000 MD simulations were performed.
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